Case 1:07-cv-05218-AKH	Document 1	Filed 05/15/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	ıK		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
ROBERT GONFIANTINI AND LISA GONFIA	ANTINI	DOCKET NO.	
Pla	intiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SH COMPLAINT RELATED TO THI	E
- against -		MASTER COMPLA	AINT
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEI JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Def	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alv 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master C			•
	NOTICE OF	FADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in instant Plaintiff(s) as if fully set forth I Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These	nerein in additi	on to those paragraphs	specific to the individ

the lual f(s), and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.

Plaintiffs, ROBERT GONFIANTINI AND LISA GONFIANTINI, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1. individual ar	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	`	r the "Injured Plaintiff"), is an venue, Bronx, NY 10469
		(OR)	
2.	Alternatively, \square	is the	of Decedent
	, and brings this clain	n in his (her) capacity as of	The Estate of
	Please	read this document carefull	y.
	It is very important that you	u fill out each and every secti	ion of this document.

Ca	se 1:07-cv-05218-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 2 of 11	
3. York residing Injured Plaint	g at 2521 Kingsland Avenue, Bronx, NY	after the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New 10469-, and has the following relationship to the	
injured Frank	SPOUSE at all relevant times I ROBERT GONFIANTINI, and to the injuries sustained by her GONFIANTINI.	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff and brings this derivative action for her (his) loss due husband (his wife), Plaintiff ROBERT Other:	
4. Union as a se	In the period from 9/13/2001 to 9/14/2 arch for bodies, bucket brigade at:	2001 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Carpenter	
	Please be as specific as possible when fi	illing in the following dates and locations	
The World Trade Center Site Location(s) (<i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.) From on or about _9/13/2001_ until _9/14/2001_;		The Barge From on or about; Approximatelyhours per day; for	
Approximate	ly <u>12</u> hours per day; for ly <u>2</u> days total.	Approximately days total. ===================================	
The New York City Medical Examiner's Office From on or about _9/15/2001_ until, Approximately _0_ hours per day; for Approximately _0_ days total.		Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total days, and for the employer, as specified below:	
From on or all Approximate	Kills Landfill bout; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	From on or about until; Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total; Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:	
*Continue t		aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.	
5.	Injured Plaintiff		
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated	
	✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	r ingested toxic substances and particulates on all	
	Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at	
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.		

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
V	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☑ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 3/14/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	✓ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	INC.
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	✓ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
\square is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 3/14/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	✓ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	\square EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
·	☑ EN-TECH CORP
☐ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC ☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ / WOKLD TRADE COMPANT, L.P.	DEVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

OTHER:

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

☑ YANNUZZI & SONS INC

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	Business/Service Address:
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	<u> </u>
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-05218-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil		Jurisdi ut the	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has
remov	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	U.S.C	C. § 1441.
	III CAUSES		
of lial			d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
✓	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
✓	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-05218-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

П	Cancer Injury: N/A.			Cardiovascular Injury: N/A.
_	Date of onset:		_	Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
V	Respiratory Injury: Cough; Respiratory		V	Fear of Cancer
	Problems; Shortness of Breath; Sinus			Date of onset: <u>12/1/2005</u>
	Problems; Sinusitis; Wheezing			Date physician first connected this injury
	Date of onset: 8/1/2004			to WTC work: To be supplied at a later
	Date physician first connected this injury to			date
	WTC work: To be supplied at a later date			_
	Digestive Injury: N/A.		√	Other Injury: N/A.
	Date of onset:			Date of onset:
	Date physician first connected this injury to			Date physician first connected this injury
	WTC work:			to WTC work:
	NOTE: The foregoing is NOT an exhau	stive	list	of injuries that may be alleged.
	2. As a direct and proximate result of	the i	injur	ies identified in paragraph "1", above, the

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

damages:		
==== V	Pain and suffering	
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life	
√	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity	
✓	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits	
V	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation	

✓ Other:

☑ Mental anguish

☑ Disability

☑ Medical monitoring

✓ Other: Not yet determined._____

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York April 25, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Robert Gonfiantini and Lisa

Gonfiantini

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway

12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

April 25, 2007

Docket N	No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	ROBERT GONFIANTINI (AND WIFE, LISA GONFIANTINI),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
=====	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated,
	Attorney(s) for
===== P	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE:
	NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20
	NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 at M.
	on20 atM. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP